



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,975	09/29/2006	Maik Bienas	1742.1002	4081
21171	7590	10/25/2010	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DONADO, FRANK E	
SUITE 700				
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,975	BIENAS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
FRANK DONADO	2617	

All Participants:

(1) FRANK DONADO.

Status of Application: Amendment after Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas McKiernan, Attorney of Record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2010

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Non-Final Rejection dated 5/25/10

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: US PG Publication 2004/0203923

US Patent No. 6442391

US PG Publication 2005/0099942

US PG Publication 2004/0203903

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. McKiernan clarified that the location of the first mobile terminal is being found, not the second. For this reason, the current claims overcome the rejection. Examiner informed Mr. McKiernan a Non-Final Rejection will be submitted accordingly in due course. In addition, Mr. McKiernan, upon request by the Examiner, explained other subject matter in the specification for the Examiner to gain better understanding of invention.